## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/587,583	HUGHES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	KADE ARIANI	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the co	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beto</li> </ul>		ducina or simplifyina th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or	ion for appear by materially for	adomy or omipmying tr	10 100000 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. hafana an an tha data of filing a Ni	-ti of Ammanl:!! mat	h
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.	does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Leon B Lankford/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1651	
	r mary Examinor, Are c	1001	

Applicant argues that the only separation stages taught by Hughes are in stage (iii) and stage (iii) does not treat a fermentation liquor. However, stage (viii) of Hughes recite "separating the fermentation product from the broth characterized in that the separation stage(s) in step (iii) is/are assisted by flocculation of the solid by-product employing one or more flocculating agents ... water-soluble polymers, water swellable polymers and charged particles". Therefore Hughes teaches the claimed process.

Applicant argues that the combination of Verser, Coffey and Savage do not rendered the claim invention obvious.

However, Verser et al. teach a fermentation liquor (broth) produced in a fermentation process for the production of a fermentation product (ethanol and acetic acid), in which the liquor has been subjected to distillation (column 3 lines 38-66, column 15 line 1-4, 27-29, and 64-67, column 16 lines 7-16). Verser et al. further teach the ethanol is removed from the water stream which is discharged from the column and separated by a simple liquid-solid separation into the solid base for recycle (column 16 lines 6-16, 22-30). Verser et al. teach the net effect of the reactive distillation process is to recover the acetic acid from the dilute salt solution thereby producing a relatively concentrated product stream, and without vaporizing the water that forms the bulk of the stream. The integration reduces the energy requirement, and simultaneous removal of the product shifts the esterification equilibrium and leads to higher conversion in a short time (column 16. lines 26-34).

Verser et al. do not teach the treatment system comprises an anionic polymer, the treatment system further comprises addition of a cationic polymers, and the treatment system further comprises addition of a siliceous material. However, Coffey et al. teach subjecting a liquid to a solid-liquid separation stage, the treatment system comprising polymers derived from cationic and anionic monomers, siliceous material, bentonite, and use of such polymers for displacing unwanted soluble or colloidal materials from an aqueous cellulosic suspension as well as to increase the efficiency of the dewatering, Coffey et al. also teach mechanical dewatering (press dewatering) (see Abstract, 0002, 0006, 0022-0029, 0030, 0031, 0075-0078, and 0108).

Moreover, Savage teaches a process of separating suspended solids (solid liquid separation) from a fermentation liquor by subjecting the liquor to treatment system comprising cationic and anionic polymers (flocculants) to clarify the fermentation liquor, acrylic acid, maleic acid (see Abstract, column 2, lines 52-67, and column 3, lines 6-9). Savage teaches synthetic polymer with an anionic monomer content of at least 50 wt% (about 5 to 95 mole %) (Column 2, lines 24).

Therefore, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made could have been motivated to modify the process of Verser et al. according to the teachings of Coffey et al. and Savage by applying the solid-liquid separation system in order to provide a process of separating suspended solids from fermentation liquor with predictable results. The motivation would be to improve the efficiency of the dewatering, and increase the efficiency of the process by lowering the cost and energy. The claims would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been capable of applying a known solid-liquid separation technique to a known method that was ready for improvement and the results would have been predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art.